Town of Lansing 1 **Zoning Board of Appeals Meeting** 2 Tuesday, November 9, 2021 6:30 PM 3 Via Zoom 4 5 Present Maureen Cowen 6 7 Judy Drake, Chair 8 Richard Hayes 9 Mary Stoe 10 Jack Young, Alternate 11 12 Excused 13 **Other Staff Present** 14 15 John Zepko, Planner Heather Dries, Planning Clerk 16 17 **Public Present** 18 Jason Demarest Sean & Jennifer Whittaker 19 20 **General Business** 21 Chair Judy Drake opened the meeting at 6:34pm. 22 23 Alternate Jack Young was enacted as a voting member in the excused absence of a voting 24 member. 25 26 Motion to Approve the Minutes of October 12, 2021 as amended. 27 Moved by: Richard Hayes Seconded by: Maureen Cowen (Motion Carried) 28 29 **PUBLIC HEARING #1:** 30 Consideration of an Appeal made by Sean & Jennifer Whittaker, 300 Portland Point Road; Tax 31 Parcel No. 36.-1-83, located in the Industrial/Research – IR Zoning District. The applicant is 32 proposing to construct a two family dwelling and is requesting a Use Variance from Town of 33 Lansing Zoning Law § 270, Schedule I which does not permit two family dwellings. This is an 34 Unlisted Action under the State Environmental Quality Review Act 6 NYCRR 617.5(c)(16) and 6 35 NYCRR 617.5(c)(17). 36 The above referenced appeal and its supporting documents are available for inspection at 37 https://lfweb.tompkins-co.org/WebLink/Browse.aspx?id=59983&dbid=7&repo=Lansing 38 39 Motion to Open the Public Hearing for 300 Portland Point Road at 6:47 pm. 40 Motioned by: Richard Hayes Seconded by: Mary Stoe (Motion Carried)

DRAFT

| 41 | | | |
|----|--|--|--|
| 42 | Summary of discussion: | | |
| 43 | _ | Sean Whittaker, Jennifer Whittaker, and Architect Jason Demarest were present to | |
| 44 | | discuss this project. | |
| 45 | _ | Jason Demarest explained the project. | |
| 46 | 1—1 | This project would not need a variance if the property was zoned in the manor it has | |
| 47 | | been used- Residential. | |
| 48 | 1-1 | The application did not include a SEQRA Environmental Assessment Form. An EAF must | |
| 49 | | be submitted for the Zoning Board to consider this application. | |
| 50 | S S | The County considered this a local issue. They have no other comments. | |
| 51 | - | The Zoning Board reviewed the appeal test questions (review criteria) with the | |
| 52 | | Applicant. | |
| 53 | - | The Applicant wishes to include an accessory apartment above the garage of the guest | |
| 54 | | house. | |
| 55 | 11 | The Applicant intends for this area to use by their adult children while visiting; Applicant | |
| 56 | | states it will not be used as a rental property. | |
| 57 | | | |
| 58 | Public | Comment: | |
| 59 | _ | No Public Comment | |
| 60 | | | |
| 61 | = | Deliberation: | |
| 62 | X | There was no variance issued for the existing structure. | |
| 63 | _ | There is no written communication with former code enforcement officer. | |
| 64 | | The Zoning Board would like to have clearer answers to the appeal test questions. | |
| 65 | _ | There is a discrepancy with the 2017 Use Variance on this property. The Variance was | |
| 66 | | subjected to the test questions for an Area Variance, not a Use Variance. | |
| 67 | _ | The Town Planner will reach out to the applicant and their representative to discuss | |
| 68 | | other options, including rezoning. | |
| 69 | _ | A determination cannot be made at this time. | |
| 70 | _ | The Public Hearing will remain open. | |
| 71 | | | |
| 72 | <u>Discussion:</u> | | |
| 73 | | | |
| 74 | Chair Judy Drake adjourned meeting at 7:21PM | | |

Minutes taken and executed by Heather Dries

75

76