Town of Lansing 1 **Zoning Board of Appeals Meeting** 2 Wednesday, November 13, 2024 6:30 PM 3 Lansing Town Hall, 29 Auburn Rd 4 5 **Present** Excused Jack Young, Chair 6 Mary Stoe 7 Susan Tabrizi 8 Rick Haves 9 Roger VandePoel Bruce Barber 10 11 Other Staff Present 12 Kelly Geiger, Planning Clerk 13 14 John Zepko, Director of Planning Guy Krogh, Legal Counsel 15 Judy Drake, Liaison 16 17 18 **Public Present** 19 **Usman Chaudhry** 20 Charles Malcomb 21 Melissa Melko 22 Mollie Messenger 23 Valdir Rigdon 24 Michael Uhrovcik 25 Michelle Compagni 26 Stephen Compagni 27 28 Chair Jack Young opened the meeting at 6:30pm 29 30 **Action Items:** 31 32 Project: Requesting a Use Variance for placement of a pre-existing storage crate where not 33 permitted 34 **Applicant:** Michael Uhrovcik, property owner 35 Location: 191 Drake Road, TPN 37.1-1-27 **Project Description:** The applicant has applied for a Use Variance to permit the placement of a 36 37 preexisting storage crate in the B2 General Commercial zoning district, which does not permit 38 the placement of a storage crate 39 **SEQR:** This proposed action is classified as an Unlisted action and will need further review 40 Anticipated Action: Review of application, public hearing, SEQR pt 2 review 41 42 **Summary of Discussion:** • Michael Uhrovcik was present to discuss this project 43 44 Board suggested that the applicant speak with the Code Officers to see what, if any 45 options are available to keep the crate on the premises.

Project: Requesting several Area Variances (5 total) for renovations to a single family 46 dwelling unit including associated site/patio work 47 48 49 Applicant: Craig Modisher, on behalf of property owner Stephen Compagni 50 Location: 1166 East Shore Drive, TPN 48.-1-15 51 52 **Project Description:** The applicant has applied for five (5) Area Variance's to complete the 53 construction of a new 125 sqft addition and associated site work. Addition includes the 54 expansion of an existing bedroom and deck within the existing footprint. The first-floor patio 55 will be replaced with a new patio, also within the existing footprint. The applicant is seeking the 56 following relief from §270 Attachment 2 Schedule II Bulk Regulations for 1) nonconforming lot 57 area of 6969 sq/ft where 20,000 sqft is required, 2) nonconforming road frontage of 50' where 58 75' is required, 3) nonconforming front yard setback of 27.8' where 30' is required, 4) 59 nonconforming side yard setback of 6.5' where 10' is required and 5) nonconforming amount of open space at 66% where 75% is required. This property is located in the L1 Lakeshore zoning 60 61 district, with lake frontage 62 **SEQR:** This proposed action is classified as a Type II (617.5 (C)(17)) action and does not 63 require any further review 64 **Anticipated Action:** Review of application, public hearing 65 66 **Summary of Discussion:** 67 Craig Modisher and Stephen Compagni were present to discuss this project 68 • Existing footprints to remain the same, neighbor concerned about view being blocked by 69 closing in the porch 70 • Board approved the project. 71 72 73 AREA VARIANCE FINDINGS AND DECISION 74 TOWN OF LANSING ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS 75 76 **BACKGROUND INFORMATION** 77 Variance No: 24-14 78 Zoning District: L1 with Lake Frontage 79 Public Hearing Published on: 10/31/24 80 600' Notices Mailed: 10/29/24 81 82 Applicant: 83 Craig Modisher, agent on behalf of S. Compagni 84 1166 E. Shore Drive 85 Lansing, NY 14850 86 87 Property Location: 1166 East Shore Drive 88 Tax Parcel #: 48.-1-15 89

9293 **RESOLUTION AND FINDINGS**

90

91

Requirement for which an Area Variance is being requested: Town of Lansing Zoning Law §

270-11 Schedule II, Frontage, Yard, Height, and Coverage Requirements

94	
95	WHEREAS, Craig Modisher, applicant and agent for the owners of 1166 East Shore Drive Tax
96	Parcel No. 481-15 located in the L1 Zone with Lake Frontage, has applied for five (5) Area
97	Variance's to complete the construction of a new 125 sqft addition and associated site work.
98	Addition includes the expansion of an existing bedroom and deck within the existing footprint.
99	The first-floor patio will be replaced with a new patio, also within the existing footprint. The
100	applicant is seeking the following relief from §270 Attachment 2 Schedule II Bulk Regulations
101	for 1) nonconforming lot area of 6969 sq/ft where 20,000 sqft is required, 2) nonconforming road
102	frontage of 50' where 75' is required, 3) nonconforming front yard setback of 27.8' where 30' is
103	required, 4) nonconforming side yard setback of 6.5' where 10' is required and 5)
104	nonconforming amount of open space at 66% where 75% is required; and
105	noncomorning amount of open space at 60% where 75% is required, and
106	WHEREAS, on 13 November 2024 the Town of Lansing Zoning Board of Appeals (the "ZBA")
107	thoroughly reviewed and analyzed: (i) the information and evidence submitted by the applicant
108	in support of the requested area variance; (ii) all other information and materials properly before
109	the ZBA; and (iii) the issues and impacts raised for consideration by neighbors, the public, and
110	the ZBA; and
111	the ZDA, and
112	WHEREAS, this application is classified as a Type II Action under 6 NYCRR 617.5(C)(17),
113	granting of an area variance for a single-family, two-family or three-family residence, such that
114	no further environmental review is required; so, upon due deliberation upon the foregoing, the
115	application, and all evidence and testimony presented to the ZBA.
116	application, and an evidence and testimony presented to the ZBA.
117	NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED AS FOLLOWS:
118	NOW, THEREFORE, BETT RESOLVED ASTOLEOWS.
119	1. The Town of Lansing Zoning Board of Appeals ("ZBA") hereby makes the following
120	findings with respect to the specific criteria for area variances as set forth in Town Law §
121	267-b(3)(b), and other applicable provisions of law and of the Zoning Ordinance:
122	207 b(5)(b), and other applicable provisions of law and of the 20ming ordinance.
123	a. Whether an undesirable change will be produced in the character of the neighborhood
124	or a detriment to nearby properties will be created by the granting of the area variance?
125	of a desimilation to hear of properties will be excused by the granting of the area variances.
126	Yes No Findings: Changing the footprint to allow for alternative layout would be
127	more detrimental to the neighborhood
128	more detinional to the heightonicou
129	b. Whether the benefit sought by the applicant can be achieved by some method, feasible
130	for the applicant to pursue, other than an area variance?
131	
132	Yes No Yes Findings: One neighbor's view WILL be impacted but larger impact is
133	minimal
134	
135	c. Whether the requested area variance is substantial?
136	
137	Yes No \(\subseteq \) Findings: Change is 0%; no change in conditions they are already in
138	noncompliance with
139	·
140	d. Whether the proposed variance will have an adverse effect or impact on
141	the physical or environmental conditions in the neighborhood or district?
142	• •

143			_ Findings:			
144	e. Whet	her the	alleged difficulty was self-created?			
145						
146	Yes Y	. No	_ Findings:			
147	A DEC.		ALTERNATION DATE OF CONTRACT AND CONTRACT CONTRACT OF CONTRACT CONTRACT OF CONTRACT			
148	2. DETI	ERMIN	ATION BASED ON THE ABOVE FACTORS (choose one):			
149	T. 1	1 1.	· 11 1 T CI · 7 ' D 1 CI · 1 (1 ((7DAM) 1) 1			
150		•	rmined by the Town of Lansing Zoning Board of Appeals (the "ZBA") that the			
151		_	variances are GRANTED with any conditions hereafter stated (if any), it being			
152			d determined that (i) the benefit to the applicant outweighs any potential			
153			s or detriment to the neighborhood or community; and (ii) such area variance is			
154 155			ecessary as adequate to grant relief and, at the same time, preserve and protect the neighborhood and the safety and welfare of the community.			
155 156	Characte	or the	neighborhood and the safety and werrare of the community.			
157	DESCR	IPTIO	N OF SPECIFIC VARIANCES GRANTED: five (5) Area Variance's to			
158			nstruction of a new 125 sqft addition and associated site work. Addition includes			
159			f an existing bedroom and deck within the existing footprint. The first-floor patio			
160	-		with a new patio, also within the existing footprint. The applicant is seeking the			
161		-	from §270 Attachment 2 Schedule II Bulk Regulations for 1) nonconforming lot			
162		_	ft where 20,000 sqft is required, 2) nonconforming road frontage of 50' where			
163			3) nonconforming front yard setback of 27.8' where 30' is required, 4)			
164			side yard setback of 6.5' where 10' is required and 5) nonconforming amount of			
165			5% where 75% is required.			
166			•			
167						
168	ARE C	ONDIT	IONS IMPOSED WITH RESPECT TO THE AREA VARIANCES AS			
169	GRANT	ΓED?				
170						
171	Yes	No ⊻	/			
172						
173	~					
174	STATE	MENT	OF CONDITIONS: None imposed			
175						
176	THE X		NUTTIE EODECOING DECICION DETERMINATIONS AND			
177			N THE FOREGOING DECISION, DETERMINATIONS, AND N OF THE TOWN OF LANSING ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS WAS			
178 179						
180	AS FOI)•			
181	Motion	hw. Ric	k Haves			
182		•	Susan Tabrizi			
183	Sccond	cu by. S	usan Taonzi			
184	Bruce B	arber (a	lt) – Ave			
185		Bruce Barber (alt.) – Aye Richard Hayes – Aye				
186		Mary Stoe – Absent				
187	•	Susan Tabrizi – Aye				
188		Roger VandePoel – Aye				
189	Jack Yo		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
190			•			
191	Dated: 1	3 Nove	mber 2024			

192	Project: Requesting a Area Variance for a 12' x 16' shed located in rear (front) yard
193 194	Applicant: Valdis Rigdon, owner
19 4 195	Location: 14 Placid Terrace, TPN 403-36.41
195 196	Project Description: The applicant has applied for an Area Variance to locate a 12'x16' shed in
190 197	the rear of the house; 45' from the centerline of Triphammer Terrace where 60' is required. The
198	property is located on a parcel with two front yards, so this will be treated as a front yard setback
199	despite it being located in the "rear" yard. This property is located in the R1 zoning district
200	SEQR: This proposed action is classified as a Type II (617.5 (C)(12)) action and does not
200	require any further review
202	Anticipated Action: Review of application, public hearing
203	rancepated rection. Review of application, paone hearing
204	Summary of Discussion:
205	Valdis Rigdon was present to discuss this project.
206	 The Board discussed deed restrictions, setbacks and views and approved the project with
207	restrictions.
208	
209	
210	AREA VARIANCE FINDINGS AND DECISION
211	TOWN OF LANSING ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS
212	
213	BACKGROUND INFORMATION
214	
215	Variance No: 24-16
216	Zoning District: R1
217	Public Hearing Published on: 10/31/24
218	600' Notices Mailed: 10/29/24
219	
220	Applicant:
221	Valdis Rigdon, owner
222	14 Placid Terrace
223	Lansing, NY 14850
224	
225	Property Location: 14 Placid Terrace
226	Tax Parcel #: 403-36.41
227	
228	Requirement for which an Area Variance is being requested: Town of Lansing Zoning Law § 270-
229	11 Schedule II, Frontage, Yard, Height, and Coverage Requirements for a front yard (northwest)
230	setback of 45' where 60' is required on Triphammer Terrace.
231	
232	RESOLUTION AND FINDINGS
233	
234	WHEREAS, Valdis Rigdon, owner of 14 Placid Terrace Tax Parcel No. 403-36.41 located in the
235	R1 zoning district, has applied for an area variance to construct a 12' x 16' storage shed in the
236	rear yard of 14 Placid Terrace. Since this lot has double frontage, the "rear yard" is treated as a
237	front yard and must meet those setback requirements. The applicant is applying for one (1)
238	Area Variance: (1) relief from Town of Lansing Zoning Law § 270-11 Schedule II: Area, Frontage,
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

239 240 241	Yard, Height, and Coverage Requirements for a front yard (northwest/ Triphammer Terrace) setback of 45' where 60' is required; and
242 243 244 245 246 247	WHEREAS, on 13 November 2024 the Town of Lansing Zoning Board of Appeals (the "ZBA") thoroughly reviewed and analyzed: (i) the information and evidence submitted by the applicant in support of the requested area variance; (ii) all other information and materials properly before the ZBA; and (iii) the issues and impacts raised for consideration by neighbors, the public, and the ZBA; and
247 248 249 250 251 252	WHEREAS, this application is classified as a Type II Action under 6 NYCRR 617.5(C)(17), granting of an area variance for a single-family, two-family or three-family residence, such that no further environmental review is required; so, upon due deliberation upon the foregoing, the application, and all evidence and testimony presented to the ZBA.
253254	NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED AS FOLLOWS:
255 256 257 258 259	1. The Town of Lansing Zoning Board of Appeals ("ZBA") hereby makes the following findings with respect to the specific criteria for area variances as set forth in Town Law § 267-b(3)(b), and other applicable provisions of law and of the Zoning Ordinance:
260261262263	a. Whether an undesirable change will be produced in the character of the neighborhood or a detriment to nearby properties will be created by the granting of the area variance?
263264265	Yes No Findings: wouldn't be as convenient for applicant. Is feasible but not desirable
265266267268	b. Whether the benefit sought by the applicant can be achieved by some method, feasible for the applicant to pursue, other than an area variance?
	Yes No_ Yes Findings:
271 272	c. Whether the requested area variance is substantial?
273 274 275	Yes No Findings: 25% reduction in setback from front yard requirement; not very substantial
276 277 278	d. Whether the proposed variance will have an adverse effect or impact on the physical or environmental conditions in the neighborhood or district?
279 280	Yes No Findings:
281 282	e. Whether the alleged difficulty was self-created?
282 283 284	Yes No Findings: 2. DETERMINATION BASED ON THE ABOVE FACTORS (choose one):

It is hereby determined by the Town of Lansing Zoning Board of Appeals (the "ZBA") that the following area variance is **GRANTED**, with any conditions hereafter stated (if any), it being further found and determined that (i) the benefit to the applicant outweighs any potential negative impacts or detriment to the neighborhood or community; and (ii) such area variance is the minimum necessary as adequate to grant relief and, at the same time, preserve and protect the character of the neighborhood and the safety and welfare of the community.

DESCRIPTION OF SPECIFIC VARIANCES GRANTED: One (1) Area Variance: (1) relief from Town of Lansing Zoning Law § 270-11 Schedule II: Area, Frontage, Yard, Height, and Coverage Requirements for a front yard (northwest/ Triphammer Terrace) setback of 45' where 60' is required.

ARE CONDITIONS IMPOSED WITH RESPECT TO THE AREA VARIANCES AS GRANTED?

Yes V No____

STATEMENT OF CONDITIONS:

- 1. Shed must be manufactured by "Tuff Shed" as discussed during 11/13 meeting.
- 2. West face of shed must be located with-in 10' of the east edge of existing landscape garden bed.
- 3. Shed must be built on grade and is not to exceed 12' maximum height at highest point of gabled roof.
- 4. Shed must be located 45' from centerline of Triphammer Terrace.

THE VOTE ON THE FOREGOING DECISION, DETERMINATIONS, AND RESOLUTION OF THE TOWN OF LANSING ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS WAS AS FOLLOWS:

Motion by: Bruce Barber 313 **Seconded by:** Susan Tabrizi

315 Bruce Barber (alt) – Aye

316 Richard Hayes – Aye

317 Mary Stoe – Absent

318 Susan Tabrizi –Aye

319 Roger VandePoel –Aye

320 Jack Young – Aye

323 Dated: 13 November 2024

329 Project: Requesting a Use Variance to construct a Solar Energy Facility off N. 330 **Triphammer Road** 331 **Applicant**: Mollie Messenger, representing Delawar River Solar 332 Location: 0 North Triphammer Road, TPN 44.-1-1.2 and 44.-1-3.3 333 **Project Description:** The applicant has applied for a Use Variance to construct 2 Solar Energy 334 Facilities off N. Triphammer Road. This project is located in R2 zoning which does not permit 335 the construction of a Solar Energy Facility 336 **SEQR**: This project is a Type I action (617.4 (B)(2) and 617.4 (6)(i)) and will require further 337 review 338 339 340 **Summary of Discussion:** 341 Jack Young Recused himself as Chair and Susan Tabrizi stepped in as Chair 342 Mollie Messenger, Melissa Melko, Usman Chaudhry and Charles Malcomb were present 343 to discuss this project. Board discussed Commercial entity in Residential area, definition of utility, the necessity 344 345 of electricity now vs.future with projects being forced to turn to electricity, public utility 346 standard, next steps, SEQR deliberations 347 348 Susan Tabrizi adjourned the meeting at 8:25 PM 349

350

Minutes taken and executed by Kelly Geiger